Previous references.
B Nov 31, 85 1-4'7; 10:45; 16 A; 17 18
Rj. 7. 188 of 05 6

1905-6.

(Gen: Fin.)

File No. 188 of 05

Serial Nos. 5, 6, 10-16, 16 B, 19, 20.

Later references.
m 4 08. 85 182. Rj. 7. 187 of 07 8.
60 Jan 08 85 182 Rj. 7. 274 of 7 8.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 5, 6, 10 to 14 & 16, 16 B, 19 & 20.

Part B. for December 07

SUBJECT.

management of the estates mortgaged to Govt by Mustaheb Rama Rao, for the loan taken by him

Table of Contents.

From Sankara sastry, Velamangala
Registered No. H. 5225 of 4.6.06.

States that Rs 72/- are due to him annually as Vaithi amount from the income of the Inam villages of Mustaheb Rama Rao now under Govt management and that such payments have not been made to him since 1899 and requests that the amount in arrears viz Rs 576/- may be caused to be paid to him.

G. R. S. 58

6) To the Deputy Commissioner Cuddapah District

No. H. 1024 dt. 26.7.06

calls for a report on the allegations made in the application

From the D.C. No 358 dt 21.11.06.
Submits the report called for in the above stating that the petitioner's claims have to be conceded.

To the D.C. No 4658 dt 20.12.06.
Calls for a further detailed report on the allegations in the petition (sent (1))

From the same office No. 661 dt 21.3.07
Furnished the explanation required in respect of the claims of the petitioner for arrears of annuity due to him.

To the D.C. Mysore. No. H. 76528.6.
Requests him to obtain the testimony of Mustaheb Rao as to the exact amount of annuity due by him and why it was not paid when the village were under his management

From the D.C. Mysore. No. 893 dt 20.6.07
Submits the particulars called for in the above.

To the D.C. Mysore. No H 18779 dt 26.10.07
Authorizes the D.C. to pay to the claimant the annuity due to him for the years during which the estate has been under the management of Govt.

Endorsement to the Applicant. No. H 789 dt 24
Informs of the above
Authorises the D.C. to pay to the claimant the annuity due to him for the years during which the estate has been under the manage

1906 7.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Gen. Fin

NOTES.

FILE HEADING.

Management of the Estates mortgaged to Government by Musaheb Ramarao Inamdar for the loan taken by him.

From

Sankara Sastry, Velamangala.

No. Pet. Dated 4.6.06. Received 7.6.06.

Diary No. 5225.	Enclos. 1.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 5.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that Rs 72/- are due to him annually as Vritti amount from the income of the Inam Villages of Musaheb Ramarao now under Govt. management & that such payments have not been made to him since 1899, and requests that the amount in arrears viz. Rs 576/- may be caused to be paid to him

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Copy Vakil's petition be forwarded to the Dy Commr: of Bellary for report as to the allegations contained therein.

Submitted for orders. Circle 20/7/06

18/7/06

Draft sent 24/7/06

sheet

MGCPB—20,000—17-2-05.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Gen: Fin: File No. 188 of 1905-6.

Serial No.

To the Dy. Coll^r, Nuzvid &c:
No: H: 1024 of the 26th July 1906.
Calls for a report on the allegations made in the application.

From the Dy: Coll^r, No: 358 of 21.11.06
Points out that the annuities payable to the petitioner & other Vrithidars are to be paid out of the proceeds of the Inam village mortgaged to Govt: and that their claims may be decided along with those of the females for maintenance, pending disposal in the linked file.

In the Govt: order of June 1893, a sum of Rs: 750 has been specially provided on account of the annuities due to these Brahmins and to the female members of the family of Bakshi Siva Rao. The amount that is due to the latter is fixed at Rs: 644 and the balance has to go to the Brahmins. But it is not clear why the payment to the Brahmins should be deferred pending the disposal of the claims put forward by the females. The point for orders in their cases is whether they are the legal heirs of Siva Rao and whether they have —

erial No.

Secured Succession certificates. If the petitioner is really one of the Brahmins alluded to in the list A appended to G.O: of June 1893, there appears to be no justification to postpone the payment of his annuity. In 1859, the late Bakshi Siva Rao alienated, out of the Inam village of Hallival in the Anpore Taluk, a portion of land yielding 24 Khanti rai Pagodas, by a deed of gift in favor of Narasimha Sastry. Now that the village, including this portion, is in the management of Govt: the annuity due to these Brahmins becomes payable from the realizations of the village. In fact, the petitioner has an indefeasible right to it & Govt: cannot with Justice, postpone the payment of the annuity due to him.

But there is however one point about which orders are solicited. The Petitioner has not received the annuity of Rs: 72, Since 1899 a sum of Rs: 576 is now due to him for the 8 years past. But during 6 of these 8 years, the villages were with Mr. Rama Rao. It was Mr. Rama Rao that was collecting the revenue & making periodical repayments to Govt. He has

Serial No.

properly to account for the arrears due to the petitioner for these 6 years.

[But as the villages are now in the custody of Govt. Since November 1904, it is a question whether the Govt. did not take them with the liabilities subsisting thereon on the date of taking over charge of these villages. If so, the Govt. are as equally liable to pay up the accumulated annuity as they are to pay up for the current year]

The sum of Rs: 750|: referred to in Appx: A of G.O: of June 1893, out of which the petitioner's claim has to be satisfied is being held in deposit for three years past. The amount due to the petitioner other brahmins may perhaps be ordered to be paid out of this latter amount as also from Current Revenue submitted for orders.

BK.
7/12/06

K.S.
7-12-06

Please call the Dy Commr. for report in detail on the following points:-

1) If the petitioner is one of the Vrittidars, the annual amt due & whom was excluded from the net or revenue available for the

Serial No.

repayment of the loan,

2) if he is, the Vritti for how many years is in arrears.

3) what the the Vritti amt for the years during which the estate was under mr Rama Rao's management was/ paid to the petitioner,

4) if the amount due to the petitioner is in deposit &

5) if so, when it should be paid to him - Madras 10/12/6

Draft Submitted.

K.S.

14/12/06

Issue made 15/12/06

To the Dy. Coll. no: 4658 dtd 20.12.0

Calls for a report on the above points.

From the Dy. Coll. no: 661 dtd 21.3.07

Submits a report showing (1) that the Vritti due to the petitioner is in arrears from the year 1899, (2) that he did not receive the annuity due to him when the villages were under Mr. Rama Rao's own management, till 1904-5, the yr in which they came over under the management of Govt:, (3) that there is n

amount in deposit + (4) that the whole of the income after meeting the Govt: dues + other expenses has been applied towards the reduction of the Govt: loan.

If all the available money has been applied towards the Govt: loan it is a mistake. The Dy. Com[r] should not have appropriated towards the Govt: loan the moneys that had been specially reserved for Brahmadaya, devadaya + other purposes Because he has done it, the moneys due to the Brahmins + the female members under the G.O. of June 1892 Can not be postponed. The Govt: can now order these dues to be paid to the claimants + corresponding adjustments made in the loan accounts.

Again the Dy. Com[r] can not say whether or not the petitioner is one of the Brahmins entitled to the amount. In order to answer this, he requires to see the enclosures to his letter No. 515 of the 4-9-92. But these do not contain these particulars. In fact, the defect was expressly pointed out in the

Serial No. 5

loan order of June 1892. Any how, the deed of gift granted in 1859 to the Petitioner's father by Mr Bhakshi Siva Rao and the record of inquiry held by the Amildar of the Nelamangala Taluk decide conclusively that the petitioner is entitled, out of the realizations of the Hathval village, to 24 Kanteerai Pagodas or its equivalent in our present money. This is further substantiated by the Sybam's Stt: received with his letter No: 74 of the 19.7.1893 wherein a Sum of Rs: 65-7-4 is shown to be due to the Petitioner for the year 1892-3 and to pay which, among other liabilities, the Second loan of Rs: 3000 was applied for and obtained.

It were well for the Sybam to have obtained the testimony of Mr Munsaheb Rama Rao as to the Petitioner's allegation that he received nothing since 1898-9. This he may however do at the time of actually disbursing the monies, provided the Govt are now pleased to order the payment of the arrears of annuity due to the petitioner. As stated above, proper adjustments may be ordered to be made in the loan accounts or the moneys paid out of the current Revenues Submitted for orders.

K.S. 30/3/07. 1/4

GPB—50,000—25-2-07

Gen: In File No. 188 of 1907

Send the enclosures called for and point out that the details of given in the loan order of 1892 have obtained informally and had been received in the Dy Comm's office —

In the statement of loans one of Mr Rama Rao in 1902 no mention is made of the amount due to Srikanta Sastry —

While in the Ramakrishna Statement a sum of 67/8 is entered against his name —

An enquiry from Mr Rama Rao regarding the petitioners allegation that his dues have not been paid since 99 should be made and reported.

If the petitioner is one of the persons included in the list who are entitled to yearly money allowances what is the amount due since Fy 02 of 67/8 ?

Please draw the attention of the Dy Comm: to all these points and ask him to submit a reply to questions one and three of our letter

What are the 'other expenses' that he refers to in his letter. Details of these may be furnished.

If the amount of claimed by the petr

Serial No.

to really due the same may be such
out of the annual revenues and no
assessment of the loan account
appears when necessary. Information on
this point also may be obtained
Please draft an order thereon.

18
4.4.07

Submitted with a draft according

K.S.
5-4-07.

Issue
5/4/7

To the Deputy Commissioner of
No. H. 7652 dtd 8.4.07

Requests him to obtain the testimony of Mr Rama Rao, as to the exact amount of annuity due by him, as to why the Amin was not paid when the village was under his own management, and why the whole amount, if due, should not be paid out of the current year's realizations.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore - No: 893 dtd 20. 6. 07.

Mr Rama Rao deposes before the Amildar that the Petitioner is one of the brahmins alluded to in list A attached to the Proceedings of 1893, that out of the Rs: Seventy two due

Serial No.

him, a sum of Rs: 6-9-5 should be deducted annually on account of Settlement charges; that the payment of his annuity is in arrears from 1899; that during the period when the village was under his own management the Revenue Collections having been unsatisfactory, the annuity due to Sankarasasthy fell into arrears; that for the last 3 years Govt have not paid anything to any of the claimants whatever; and that the question of settling all these claims may either be taken up by Govt: or left to himself with an additional loan of Rs: 4800 for the purpose.

In G.O: No. R: 5633-4 & the 24-10), Govt: decided that no additional loan could be given. As the other claimants have not yet put forward their claims it seems unnecessary to take up that question now. The question has, under the order of June 1892, to arrange for these private individuals. In the present it seems enough to authorize the part of Rs(6 5-6-7) = 523-4-8 for the 8 years from 1899-00 to 1906-may this be ordered to be paid out of the last years realisations? S.R.R. for orders

Serial No.

It is unfortunate that the question in this case has become somewhat complicated by the villages having been allowed to remain in the hands of the Mamlatdar for nearly 18 years after the loan to him. Strictly speaking the Govt are responsible for payment of the allowances due to the petitioners only for the period during which the villages have been under the management of Govt; but their position as mortgagees in possession of the lands began long ago — in 1894 and they have naturally been loathe to, therefore, for the payment of the allowances ever since. The realisations towards Government dues in this case have been so little that they can ill-afford to be liberal in payment of the kind in question. The petitioners may be paid the allowances due for the past three years during which the management has been with the Govt and may be referred to the Mamlatdar for recovery of arrears

before it.

10/7 ~~Please order for the petitioner~~

~~to be heard on the complaint~~

The Case of the petitioner may be ~~heard~~ proved as suggested by the Supt. The Dy Commr may be authorised to pay to the petitioner the Vritti amt due for the years during which the State has been under Govt. management and the petitioner may be referred to Mr Rama Rau for amts due for the years during which the State was under Mr Rama Raus own management.

It is desirable to ascertain how the loan act stands with the best information on the following points may be obtained

(1) The Complr may be asked to furnish particulars of the amt of loan advanced to Mr Rama Rau, the instalment and interest due for each year, the amts actually

Serial No.

paid and the balance ~~[illegible]~~ out-standing.

(2) The Dy Comm^r may be asked to report the total amt collected in the years during which the Estate has been under Govt management, noting at the same time the demand for each year, to ~~[illegible]~~ how enable Govt to see if the work of collection is receiving proper attention at the hands of the local Revenue Authorities.

(3) With a view to see if Mr Ramadan paid the amts due to Govt under the agreement entered into by him, during the years he kept, contrary to Govt order the Estate in his own management, the Dy Comm^r may be asked to obtain from him particulars of the demand, collection & balance of those years together with

G. B. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Gl. Dis. File No. 188 of 1905-6

Serial No.

information regarding the balance of the amts actually collected by them. Please prompt the necessary drafts.

16.7.07

Submitted with 3 drafts according.

K.S.
16.7.07.

17/7 Why has they been sent to me? I find that the order are to be signed by the Minister.

C.S.B.
31/7/07

Sub. to Minister

8.8.

Please ascertain from Comptr's office the total amt credited to the loan amount before authorising the payment of the Vritti. 14.8.07

Please write to the Comptr for the information regd.

15/8/

Drafts subd

ASO 24/8

24/8

To The Assistant Comptr in charge of the Special Audit

Serial No.

Branch of the Complete file for bare
of noting the information requir
by the Fin[l] Secy in his note dy
14.8.07 supra.

M.N. Hensman
2-9-7

Against the loan of Rs 24,823-13-
the total credit upto End 1897-98 w
Rs 2893-3-4. The credits towards pr
in subsequent years are as under

1898-99 (Actual)	Rs.	2419-0-6.
1900-01	"	918.11.0
1901-02	"	698.13.10
1902-03	"	1195 1-4
1903-04	"	1494. 4.11
1904-05	"	
1905-06	"	6548.11.2.
1906-07 (approx[te])	"	2386.2.5.

M.A. Rahman
5/9/07.

Apt Secy
Fin Depn

Fin Secy

Serial No.

To the Board, Income Tax,
No: H: 1877 dtd 24.10.07.
Authorizes the Board to pay to the claimant the annuity due to him for the years during which the Estate has been under the management of Govt.

To the applicant, No: 1878 dtd 24.10.07.
Informing him of the above.

From the applicant, dtd 10.12.07.
Complains that the Board has delayed the payment & that he requires money urgently.
Vide this office letter No: H: 2529 dtd 27.10.07, in the linked file.
There seems, however, no difficulty to be to order the payment of the amount due to him by debit to the Loan accounts.
Submitted for orders.
K.S.
14/12/07.

The difficulty that I referred to is only one of adjustment and Explication in the accounts.
The petitioner had

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

H. Fin File No. 188 of 1908

Serial No.

Came to me also to represent his case. and I think we cannot hold off payment any longer. Please draft a letter to the D.C. in continuation of the previous letter that the amount due may be ~~made~~ and the balance of the loan raised paid and debited to the loan accts in adjustment of the deficit & excess credit already made. The balance of the loan being raised through Guides.

16/12/7

Submitted with a draft accordingly
K.S.
17/12/07.

Issue
18/12/7

Letter, No: H: 2979 of the 19.12.07, to the S/C Mysore &c.
Requesting him to arrange for payment of the money due to him by debit to the loan accounts.

From the S/Bank, No: 396 of the 23.12.07.
States that the Comptroller has been requested to instruct the Treasury Officer, Mysore, to pay the amount due to Sankarasastry.

L: Fin: File No. 188 of 1905-6

Serial No.	
	This requires no action + may be filed. K.S 31/12/07. yes MR 31/12

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

(Genl Fin)

Office Memorandum.

No. H1024 Dated Bangalore, the 26-7-1906

* Subject.

Stating that Rs. 72/- are due to him annually as Vritti and requesting the issue of the Inam Village of Hunsabl Ramalao be made over to him and that payments have not been made to him since 1899 and requesting that the arrears may be paid to him.

The accompanying Petition* Registered No. J 5225 & 1355 dated 4-6-1906 & 2-7-1906 received in this office, from Sankara Sastri residing in Cauba Nelamangala

is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District for report as to the allegations contained therein.

By Order,

21.7.06

For SECRETARY.

10

Cpn Fin 1888-05/06

From

The Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

To

The Secretary to the Govt: of Mysore

Genl. & Rev: Department

Dated

Despatched 25th June 1906

Received

General No. 358

No.

Enclosure No. one file

Submits report & the

claim of one Shankersastri

for the payment of Rs. the

amount of Hiriyal village

Mysore Taluq.

3588
Cuet file

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Dated Mysore 19th Nov. 1906.
21

To.

M. S. Chandrasekara Iyer Esqr.
B. A. B. L.
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
Govt. Hen: Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to your memo No: 71.
1024 dated the 26th July 1906, forwarding
for report, a petition from one Shanka
Sastri of Melamangala praying for
payment of a Vritti amount of Rs:
72/- per annum, due from the income
of the Inam villages now under
Government management, of Mrisah
Ramiah Rao, I have the honor to
state, that the circumstances under
which the villages were brought
under

under Government management have been recounted in detail in this office letter No: 1209 dated 23rd May 1906.

The petitioners in question, claim the amount by virtue of a gift of a certain Vritti in the Hiltwal village in the Mysore Taluq, made to him by the donor, late Mr. Siva Rao, who by his widow Parvati Boyee, has conveyed her share to Mr. Sahib Rama Rao.

As in the case of female members of the family, a provision has also been made for allowances to Brahmins, a sum of Rs 150 has been sanctioned in 1893. payable out of the revenues of the village. Vide enclosure (A) to Government Proceedings No: 339-41 dated the 6th June 1893.

If the Government are so disposed the question of granting this allowance may be settled along with the claims of female members to certain —

annuities payable out of the income of the villages, which is still under correspondence.

The enquiry ordered in this connection in Government Proceedings No: 7L. 2335-6 dated 17th September 1906 is being conducted by the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

The Vernacular correspondence received with the Ranjangud Taluq Amildars report No: 92/52 dated 5-9-06, as also the petition received with the memo under reference, are herewith resubmitted for reference and obliging return.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

File No. 188-05
Serial No. 11

Diary No. of letter replied to 2043

No 76 4658
& 20-12-06

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Gen: Fin)
the 20-12-1906

To
The Deputy Comr of
the Mysore Dt.

Date of issue do

Signed by W. McC. Krumbegal

Sir,

With reference to the
Corree ending with your
letter, no: 358 of the 21.11.06
submitting a report on the
subject of the claim of
one Shankarasastri to the
payment of Vrithi amount
due to him out of the proceed
of the Jodi ~~village of the~~
Hirwal village in the Mysore
Taluk now under the manag
-ment of Govt., on account o
the Security of the loan grant
to Imsaheb Rama Rao.

to be so good as to submit a report, in detail, on the following points:—

(1) If the petitioner is one of the Brahmins, the amounts due to whom were excluded from the net revenue available for the repayment of the loans, under G.O. No. 339-41 dated 6.6.93.

(2). If he is, the Vaidhi for how many years the Vaidhi remains in arrears.

(3) If the Vaidhi account for the years during which the village was under Mr. Rama Rao's management was paid to the petitioner; if not, why?

(4) If the amount due for subsequent years is in deposit + if it is, why it should not be paid to the petitioner

The enclosures herewith forwarded may be returned with the report called for.

I have etc.

Sd/-

16/12

II 4 13 3 (6)

1906-07

D 4118

Gen Jud 1888-05
81-no 12

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of
Land & Rev. Department

Dated

Despatched 21st March 1907

Received

General No. 661
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

Submits report re the
claim of one Subba Sastri
to the sale proceeds of the
Devadi amount of Godi—
Kikwad village, Mysore Ta

No: 661
Enc: File

Deputy Commr's office,
Mysore District
Dated Mysore 19th March 1907.
21

To,

R. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar Esq.
B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Govern-
ment of Mysore.
Genl: and Rev: Departments.
Bangalore

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter No: 4658/Gl: Fin: 188-05-11 dated the 20th December 1906, on the subject of the claim of one Shankara Sastry, to the payment of the sixth amount due to him out of the proceeds of the Jodi Gekoral village, now under the management of Government, I have the honor to state that as regards the first question of your letter under reply, I think that a reference to details for Rs: 750/- provided for allowances to Brahmins and other female members of Munsabdi Mr. Rama Rao's family (as per enclosure A to G. O. No 339-41 dated the 6th June 1893) seems indispensable before the question can be replied. I therefore request that the enclosure to my letter No: 515 dated the 4th September

1906

1892, may be kindly retransmitted to enable me to see if the petitioner is one of the Brahmins, the annuities due to whom have been excluded from the net revenue available for the repayment of the loan. or the details for Rs:750/- may kindly be furnished, as this information is not available here.

2. It will be seen from the petitioner's statement, and the Velamapale Taluk Amildar's report No:563 dated the 24th March 1907, that the arrears due to the petitioner is in arrears from the year 1899.

3. He has not been receiving the Pattis amount promptly even when the villages were under Munaheb Rao Rama Rao's own management till 1904-05, when they were brought under the management of Government.

4. As regards the last sentence I invite your kind reference to the Statement submitted with my letter No:587 dated the 28th February 1907, wherefrom it will be quite clear that there is no amount in deposit, but that the whole income after meeting the Government dues and other expenses as shown there in has been applied towards the reduction of the principal.

The enclosures received with your letter under reply as also the correspondence ending with Bangalore Deputy

Commissioner's Memo No 75/2735 dated the 9th instant are herewith enclosed for kind perusal.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
of Nagpur District

File No. 188-05

Serial No. 2

tter replied to 4118

No. 76/652

28-4-07

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Gl. Sec.)

, the 8-4-1907.

To

The Collector of

the Mysore District

Date of issue

Signed by W. Lawrence Smyth Sir

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 661 of the 21-3-07 on the subject of the annuity due to Sankara Sastry of Melavangala out of the revenue of Jodi Hirival village in the Mysore Taluk, now in the management of Govt., on account of the loan granted to late Srinivasa Rama Rao, I am directed to return herewith the enclosures received with your 515 of the 4-9-1892 and to

equest you to be so good as t
ubmit at an early date a repor
on questions no: 1 and 3 of this offic
etter no: 4658/Rl-Tir 188-05-1 dated
20. 12. 1906.

It would be well to obtain
the testimony of Mr Musaleb
Khan as to the allegation
of the petitioner that he did
not receive the amount due
to him since 1899 and as to
the amount that is actually
due to him annually with an account of
12 as claimed by him or by 8 as
amount now in arrears.

reported in the
enclosures to
your office
letter Nos 2 & 3 dated

His explanation may be obtained
as to why the arrears of the
annuity were not paid to the
petitioner when the village was
under his own management, and why the whole amou
if one did not be paid
with a statement of the expens
to pay up the amount out of the
Current year's realizations.

Copied by

Examined by

I am to point out that
the details of revenue & expenditure

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

of the villages taken as security for the loan were as indicated in the loan order of 1892, obtained informally from the debtor himself & that ~~you were then requested to verify~~ the same.

Dharwar.

15/5/12
for Secretary

The figures therein given were required to be verified in your office — An early reply is requested —

K.S.
5/4/07

From

The Deputy Commissioner

Mayoreo district

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Bombay

Genl & Rev. Department

Dated

Despatched 21st June 1907

Received

General No. 893

No.

Enclosure No. 14 file

Submits report on a question of the payment annuity due to Shankar Sastry of Velamangala out of the revenue of Jadi-Hiruval village ——

893.
1 th file

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Dated, Mysore, 20th June 1907
21.

To.

The Secretary to the Govt: of Mysore
Land & Rev: Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No: R 7652/ SL 7 in 188-05-2 dated the 8th April 1907, requesting me to submit a report on points 1 and 3 of your letter No: R 4658/ SL 7 in 188-05-11 dated the 20th December 1906, on the question of the payment of annuity due to Shankara Sastri of Melamangala out of the Revenue of Godi Hittal village, I have the honor to state as follows:—

1. As regards point 1, Viz if the petitioner is one of the Brahmins, the annuities due to whom were excluded from the net Revenue available for the payment of the loan, under Government order No: 339-41 dated the 6th June 1893, Ramachandra Rao in the statement of the holders of

the Mysore Taluq on the 20th May 1907 -
(a copy of which is herein enclosed,
your kind perusal) has stated the
the annuity claimed by Shanker
Sastri is allowable, and is in arrea
from the year 1899 and included
Rs: 750/- provided for allowances
Brahmins and other female mem
of his family, as per enclosure (A
to Government Order No: 339-41 dat
the 6th June 1893.

The annuity actually due to Sh
-karasastri is Rs: 65-6-7 per annum as stated b
Mr. Munshi Rama Rao, in para
2 of his statement dated 20th Ma
1907.

As regards question 3 Viz if th
Vritti amount for the years during
which the village was under Mr.
Ramarao's management was paid
the petitioner, if not, to state reas
for the same, he states that although
the villages continued to be und

his own management, the collections were not satisfactory, that at the end of every year a portion of the revenue would remain uncollected, and that the Zoddy Revenue of the villages was not properly disbursed to him every year by Government and lastly that the realizations of every year were partly utilized to pay up the stipulated instalments due to Government and partly to satisfy the pressing demands of other persons entitled to receive allowances. For reasons stated above, the annuity due to Shankarasastry was allowed to fall into arrears.

As regards paying the arrears of annuity due to the above Shankara-sastry out of the current years realisations, Dewanbahadur Rama Rao states that there are several other persons who are also entitled to receive their share of annuity out of the Revenues of the Inam villages under Government management, and that

Mr. Rama Rao is in arrears to these members for the last three years and requests instructions as to how these demands are to be met. He also states that the question of settling these demands may either be taken up by Government or left to him with funds placed at his disposal to meet the charges. The additional loan applied for by Dewan Bahadur Mr. Rama Rao in this connection has been declined by Government in their Proceedings. No: 71 5633-4/Sl. Fin 51-97 dated 24th January 1907.

A Statement showing the names and amounts of annuities to be due to the females and other members of Dewan Bahadur Mr. Rama Rao's family is also annexed to the statement referred to above.

From the above list, it will be seen that item No: 8 Viz No: 110/- refers to the allowance claimed by Radhabayee wife of Mr. Dewan Bahadur Rama Rao

Ramadas deceased sir, but the Government in their Proceedings No H. 2335-6/Gl 7 in 188-05-4 dated the 17th September 1906, have already decided that there are no grounds for recognizing the claims put forth by her.

The enclosures received with your letter under advertence are herewith resubmitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

Deputy Commissioner
Anuradhapura

ప్రతిని అందజేయగలరు

నా పేరు పై స్థలం కొన్నాను తదుపరి అందులో ఇంటి
కొరకు రోడ్డు ఎలివేషన్ చూపించి పర్మిషన్ కొరకు మీ ఆ
ఫీజు కూడా అనగా ఎలివేషన్ ఫీజు రూపాయలు మరియు
మీకు ఇచ్చిన చలానా నెంబర్ 15-4
నెంబర్ 2623/995 నాటి నంబరు ద్వారా ఆ పనులకు
మీ ఆఫీసులో ఇచ్చిన చలానా ప్రకారం 25-4-07
నంబర్ 1293/1293 నెంబరు ద్వారా రసీదు పొందినది
నిరూపించి కోరుచున్నాను.

పైన పేర్కొన్న కొలతల ప్రకారం నా స్థలం
నందు 72 గజాలు కలదు కావున 6-9-5 నుండి 65-6-7 వరకు
కొలతలు ఇవ్వగలరు.

99 నాటికి నా స్థలం చుట్టూ గ్రామ పంచాయతీ వారు
ఏర్పాటు చేసిన సర్వే రాళ్ళు ఇప్పటికీ ఉన్నవి. అవి
ఎవరైనా తొలగించినట్లయితే మరల ఏర్పాటు చేయగలరు
గ్రామపంచాయతీ వారు ఏర్పాటు చేసిన రాళ్ళు

277-15-6 ...

2 — — ...

2-03-23 ...

65-7-1 ...

240 — — ...

72 — — ...

110 ...

220 ...

(Samanás ...)

204-6 ...

220 ...

120 — — ...

1601-14-11 ...

3 ... (4805-12-9) ...

File No. 185-05

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 5531.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Y. Fin.)

, *the* 190

Date of issue 24th Oct 07

Signed by W Smeaton

READ.—

Correspondence, ending with letter no: 893 of the 21st June 1907, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore dt: submitting a report on the question of payment

~~ORDER THEREON.~~ No.

of the annuity due Sankaralasty of Nelamangala out of the revenue of Jodi Hitwal village in the Mysore Taluk, now under the management of Govt: as security for the loan granted to Sri Imsaheb

over

Rama Rao in Lr. No. H:
339-41 dtd 9th June 1893

Order Passed: No H 1876-7
G(Ft) 1888-08-15
& 24-10-07

In Govt order in
Lr. 339-41 dtd 9th June 1893,
a Sum of Rs: 7.50 is directed
to be set apart out
of the realizations
of the villages mort-
gaged to Govt: on
account of certain
annuities due to
Brahmins & female
members of the debtor's family.
As Rama Rao admits that the
Petr is one of the claimants
alluded to in the said order
that he is entitled to an annuity
of Rs: 72, after deducting therefrom
a Sum of Rs: 6-9-5 on account
of Sch: charges & that the annuity
due to him is in arrears since 1899.

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

The Deputy Comr.
Impost St:, is authorized
under the Circumstances,
pay to the Petitioner at a
very early date, the amou
due to him only for the
years during which the
Estate has been under th
management of Govt:
refer him to Mr. Rama R
for the recovery of the amo
due for the previous year

3) The Dy. Comr. is
requested to obtain from Mr
Rama Rao & Submit for
the information of Govt:
particulars of the demand
Collection and balance for
the years during which the
villages were under his ow
management, together with
the information regarding
the disposal of the amoun
actually Collected by him.
A Similar Statement for the
years during which they ha
been with Govt: may be
furnished at a very earl

18/4/07.

For Din: 85th Sqt.
Sydney, Dupree St.: returning the enclosures
Controller to Sqt.:

K.S.
30.9.07.

Copied
19/11

No. 5541/ 28-10

K 5878

5/12m-188-05-16

MYSORE GOVT. GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT,

Dated, Bangalore, 24-10 —1907.

Jain Draft.

ENDORSEMENT GRANTED TO Sankaresastry,

Son of Srikanta Sastry, residing in Nelamangala

in reply to his Petition Registered No. K. 5225 dated the 4.6.06,

praying for the payment of the annuity of Rs. 72 due to h

Since 1899, out of the realizations of the Hirval village i

the Mysore Taluk, now under the management of Govt.

on account of the loan granted to Mr. Munshet Rama R

Petitioner is informed that

(the Dy. Comr. of the Mysore Dt. has bee

authorized to pay to him the annuity due for the year

during which the Estate has been under the managemen

of Govt. He should apply to Mr. Rama Rao for th

recovery of the arrears due for the previous years.

By Order,

15/10/07

for Financial Secretary.

K.S.

30/9/07.

D 2031

(1516)

File No.
188-05
Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 2031

No. H 2979

19 Dec 07

General and Revenue Secretariat
(H. Dept.)
, *the* 190 .

To

The Board
Trupne

Date of issue 19 Dec 07

Signed by W. Irwin Deputy Sec

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter, No: H: 2529 of the 29. 11. 1907, requesting you to intimate Sankarasastry & others that the arrears of annuity due to them under G.O. No: H: 1876-7/H. dt. 188-05-15 and No: H: 1887-8/H. dt. 188-05-16 of the 24th & 25th October 19 out of the realizations from the villages mortgaged to Govt: from the Nawab

rama Rao will be paid
- there after the Assembly
Session begins.
I am directed to state
that the said Sankaraśastry
was strongly represented
- ful to keep off the hardship
that will be caused to
him by delaying the payment
of the annuity due to him.
I am to request you therefore
to be so good as to arrange for
- ~~have the immediate~~
payment ~~to him~~ of the
the annuity due to him at- a day early date
by debit to the Loan
Accounts of Mr. Mussaheb
Rama Rao, and quoting this letter as the authority for the
same -

List of enclosures

I have te

17/1/17

No 76 2980 of 1912

W S V to govt.

Copied by

Examined by

copy to the Comptroller
with a request to cause to

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

The Board of Revenue
to Treasury Deptt, orde
for the payment of the
amount by debit to the
Loan Accounts.

By order

18/12/07

for Secy to Govt.

K.S.

17/12/07

Sl (20) ಫೈ ಸಜ2೨/12 #2261

File ಎಸ್ಸಿ 31/12 ep. 1880/08 1907-08 Gen. Dept.

From

M. Kanta Raj Urs Esq., B.A.
Dy. Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

S. Balakrishna Esq., B.A.
Secy. to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Dated 23rd
Despatched 24th } December 1907
Received

General No. 396
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Subject.

Report in re the payment of annuity due to Sankara Sastry & Velan-mannal

No.
Enc. No.

OFFICE OF THE Dy Commissioner
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated ~~Mysore~~ / ~~Camp~~ 23 December 1907.

To
S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.
Offg SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

SIR,

In reply to your letter No. Jdl 2979/Sl Fin 188-05 dated the 19th inst. in regard to payment of the annuity due to Shankara Sastry of Nelamangala, I have the honor to enclose herein a copy of docket No. 2890 dated 23rd inst. addressed to the Comptroller, Mysore Government in the matter, as a copy of letter does not appear to have been sent to that Officer.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient Servant
K. Thimmaraya Iyer
Dy Commissioner, Mysore

From, The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District
To, The Comptroller to the
Government of Mysore
Dated 23rd December 1907.
General No.

Enclosure No 1.

As requested in letter No. H.L
2979/81 dtd 18-8-05 received from the
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore, General and Revenue
Department dated the 19th Instant
a Copy of which is herein enclosed
has the honor to request the
the District Treasury Officer,
Mysore may be kindly instruct-
-ed to pay the amount due to
Shankara Sastry on the receipt
signed by the undersigned.

Sd. M. Kantaraj Urs.
Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

By order
[illegible]
Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

From the Applicant dt 10.12.07

complains that D.C has delayed the payment and that he requires money urgently.

Letter No. H 2979 dt 19.12.07 to the Dy Comr Mysore District.

Requests him to arrange for payment of the money due to him by debit to the loan account.

From the D.C. Mysore. No. 3969 dt 21.12.07 states that the comptroller has been requested to instruct the treasury Officer, Mysore to pay the amount due to Sankaranarayana.